Measuring the Performance of CORBA for High-speed Networking

Douglas C. Schmidt schmidt@cs.wustl.edu

http://www.cs.wustl.edu/~schmidt/ Washington University, St. Louis

Introduction

- Distributed object computing (DOC) frameworks are well-suited for certain *communication requirements* and certain *network environments*
- e.g., request/response or oneway messaging over low-speed Ethernet or Token Ring
- However, current DOC implementations exhibit high overhead for other types of *requirements* and *environments*
 - e.g., bandwidth-intensive and delay-sensitive streaming applications over high-speed ATM or FDDI

2

Outline of Talk

- Outline communication requirements of distributed medical imaging domain
- Compare performance of several network programming mechanisms:
 - Sockets
 - ACE C++ wrappers
 - Two CORBA implementations (ORBeline and Orbix)
- Discuss how to use distributed object computing frameworks efficiently and effectively





1

Distributed Objects in Medical Imaging Systems



- Image servers have the following responsibilities and requirements:
 - * Efficiently store/retrieve large medical images
 - * Respond to queries from Image Locator Servers
 - * Manage short-term and long-term image persistence

5

7

Image Server System Architecture



Motivation for CORBA

- Simplifies application interworking
 - CORBA provides higher level integration than traditional "untyped TCP bytestreams"
- Provides a foundation for higher-level distributed object collaboration
 - e.g., Windows OLE and the OMG Common Object Service Specification (COSS)
- Benefits for distributed programming similar to OO languages for non-distributed programming
 - e.g., encapsulation, interface inheritance, and objectbased exception handling

CORBA Overview

- CORBA specifies the following functions of an Object Request Broker (ORB)
 - Interface Definition Language (CORBA IDL)
 - A mapping from CORBA IDL onto C, C++, and Smalltalk
 - An Interface Repository
 - \triangleright Contains meta-info that can be queried at runtime
 - A Dynamic Invocation Interface
 - ▷ Used to compose method requests at run-time
 - A Basic Object Adaptor (BOA)
 - Allows developers to integrate their objects with an ORB

6

CORBA Services

- CORBA provides the following mechanisms
 - Parameter marshalling
 - Object location
 - Object activation
 - Replication and fault tolerance
- COSS extends CORBA to provide services like
 - Event services
 - Naming services
 - Transactions
 - Object lifecycle management

Key Research Question

Can CORBA be used to transfer medical images efficiently over high-speed networks?

• Our goal was to determine this empirically *before* adopting the CORBA communication model wholesale

10

Performance Experiments

- Enhanced version of TTCP
 - TTCP measures end-to-end, oneway bulk data transfer
 - Enhanced version tests C, ACE C++ wrappers, and CORBA

• Parameters varied

- 64 Mbytes of data buffers ranging from 1 Kbyte to 128 Kbyte (by powers of 2)
- Socket queues were 8k (default) and 64k (maximum)
- Networks were 155 Mbps ATM and 10 Mbps Ethernet
- Compiler was SunC++ 4.0.1 using highest optimization level

11

9

Network/Host Environment









High-Cost Functions

- C and ACE C++ Tests
 - Transferring 64 Mbytes with 128 Kbyte buffers

Test	%Time	#Calls	msec/cal	l Name
C sockets (sender)	99.6	527	92.8	_write
C sockets (receiver)	99.3	7201	6.2	_read
ACE C++ wrapper (sender)	99.4	527	87.3	_write
ACE C++ wrapper (receiver)	99.6	7192	6.2	_read

High-Cost Functions (cont'd)

• Orbix String and Sequence Tests

Test	%Time	#Calls	msec/call	Name
Orbix Sequence (sender)	94.6 4.1	532 2121	89.1 1.0	_write memcpy
Orbix Sequence (receiver)	92.7 4.8	7860 2581	6.1 0.6	_read memcpy
Orbix String (sender)	89.0 4.6 4.1	532 2121 2700	85.6 1.1 0.7	_write memcpy strlen
Orbix String (receiver)	86.3 5.5 4.5	7744 6740 2581	5.7 0.4 0.9	_read strlen memcpy

22

High-Cost Functions (cont'd)

• ORBeline String and Sequence Tests

Test	%Time	#Calls	msec/call	Name
ORBeline Sequence	e 91.0	551	74.9	_write
(sender)	5.2	6413	0.4	memcpy
	1.8	1032	0.8	<pre>sigaction</pre>
ORBeline Sequence	e 89.0	7568	5.8	_read
(receiver)	5.1	7222	0.3	memcpy
	3.3	1071	1.5	_poll
ORBeline String	83.8	551	83.9	_write
(sender)	5.4	920	3.2	strcpy
	4.3	5901	0.4	memcpy
	3.9	1728	1.2	strlen
	1.1	1032	0.6	<pre>sigaction</pre>
ORBeline String	85.4	7827	5.5	_read
(receiver)	4.6	6710	0.3	memcpy
	4.2	1702	1.3	strlen
	2.8	1071	1.3	_poll

Evaluation and Recommendations

- Understand communication requirements and network/host environments
- Measure performance empirically before adopting a communication model
 - Low-speed networks often hide performance overhead
- Insist CORBA implementors provide hooks to manipulate options
 - e.g., setting socket queue size with ORBeline was hard
- Increase size of socket queues to largest value supported by OS
- Tune the size of the transmitted data buffers to match MTU of the network

26

Evaluation and Recommendations (cont'd)

- Use IDL sequences rather than IDL strings to avoid unnecessary data access and copying
- Use write/read rather than send/recv on SVR4 platforms
- Long-term solution:
 - Optimize DOC frameworks
 - Add streaming support to CORBA specification
- Near-term solution for CORBA overhead on high-speed networks:
 - Integrate DOC frameworks with OO encapsulation of network programming interfaces

25

Concluding Remarks



- To be effective for use with performancecritical applications over high-speed networks, CORBA implementations must be optimized
- Key optimization points are illustrated above